Approved For Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003500180016-2

March 30, 1951

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

25X1

·	Subject: Intelligence Support for Psychological Warfare
	from Jim Reber's shop came in Wednesday to discuss intelligence for psychological warfare. He istrying to clarify the problem through discussion with people in various agencies in the hope of finding a solution to the problem which is harrassing so many people. We both agreed that clarification of the problem would probably be the major step in the solution. He is also interested in identifying what is the proper role of the DGT is psychological warfare intelligence.
ĭ	The following outline stems from the discussion but does not necessarily represent the views of
	intight the majority also majority time
2.	The problem of intelligence support for psychological wars are can be divided into consideration of the question of the provision of intelligence to the instruments of PW (including the top Psychological Warfare Strategy Board), on the one hand, and, on the other, the coordination which may be required for any intelligence activity bearing on psychological warfare.
	With Respect to the Provision of Intelligence
	1. One can identify four categories of intelligence mater als needed by the instruments of PW:
l	a. Current intelligence, which is the sum total of all raw, spot intelligence information from all sources which may be used by PM people, and includes State Department telegrams, OSO reports, etc.
eg e	b. Intelligence reports and estimates, which would include assembled and evaluated intelligence reports of particular use to FW; such as, for instance, reactions to events or speeches, analysis of certain country targets etc.
ı	c. Research reports, prepared in government approcles, government-supported institutions (OR), etc.) and private institutions

d. Technical

25X1

25X1

- d. Technical intelligence, notably the intelligence information, both raw and evaluated on such subjects as jamming, etc.
- 2. The actual intelligence in each of the above caregoris prepared for use in PW planning or operations is, in fact, no different from intelligence of a military, sociological, and particularly political nature prepared in support of other act vities, such as foreign policy, except that it must be oriented the particular uses of PW. Thus, PW instruments need the same telegrams and raw intelligence, the same type of intelligence estimates, research reports and technical analyses although to each case that must be directed to PW uses.
- 3. Following upon this, the decisions taken in recent not the with respect to the provision of intelligence support for He are imminently sound, namely, that intelligence will be provided for the intelligence will be provided for of responsibility for such areas. Any alternative which looked to one agency to supply intelligence would mean that that agent would either have to be a supernumerary element between the consumer and the producer or would need to develop itself a producing staff which could not help but duplicate existing staffs in other are cie.
 - must have someone to whom it can look and hold accountable for the provision of intelligence. With the exception of the new top F B, each instrument has within its agency an intelligence arm to what it can look for its intelligence needs including intelligence from other agencies. Thus military needs can be passed through sorvice intelligence agencies or JIG to State Department, and from YGA through R to military agencies. CIA can get its intelligence support directly from the Department or military agencies.
 - whom does the Director of the new FW staff look for his intelligence support? There seems to be no reason why he would not be in a position to get it from the producing agencies directly or at least through the representatives on the tep FWB, namely, Webb-Barrett for political-FW intelligence, Lovett-JIG for military-FV intelligence, and Smith for covert-FW intelligence. There seems to be a prevalent but questionably sound view that the director of the top FWB must and should look to one agency for the provide on of his intelligence needs. Obviously, if such a suggestion is

ad yet at

adopted CTA would be that agency, and yet it is difficult to see what CTA would add itself to the provision of such intelligence; instead, unless it has itself a producing unit, it would mean simply passing it on to the actual producer who would be better off if he were in direct contact with the consumer. This against with the exception of NIE's, which presumably the top FWB could all any time get through General Smith, but surely this represents a very small fraction of their intelligence needs. (General the product disagrees with the views expressed in this paragraph and believes that the top FWB director must be able to look to one agency for the provision of his intelligence even though that agency singly serves as a mail box. General Magruder has some idea that Thi staff (OOI ?) Would add something or will treat all FW intelligence as it would NIE's.)

6. It would seem to be indicated that the director of the top BWB would need to have a staff member, directly responsible to him and not from another agency, who can, even as a bares intelligence adviser, arrange for and assist in getting the appropriate intelligence from the various agencies.

With Respect to Coordination

- l. The coordination of intelligence support for 1% has two phases, frequently confused, neither of which seem either latte of too complicated: The coordination on any individual intelligence report, which is to say collaboration between two agenties of a single report (other than an NIE which has its established of coduction of intelligence activities, as distinct from production of individual reports.
- 2. There should be no difficulty in bringing tegether of a collaborative basis more than one agency in the predection of an intelligence report; in fact, such collaboration takes place, quite spart from NIE's, on normal intelligence production. Thus a report primarily military but with political implications can be handled by the military with assistance from the Department, and, vice versa, for a report primarily political with military is discations. Any staff officer for the director of PW could insist, even as do policy efficers, that a report be prepared in collaboration with other agencies. It is difficult to identify any need for instituting a special and unquestionably duplicatory mechanics to effect such collaborative coordination in the production of individual intelligence reports in support of PW.
- 3. The coordination of intelligence activities which bear on FW does not seem to present a major problem because there seems to be very few, nor an insoluble problem because there exists already methods with CIA and the IAC for effecting such coordinating.

2

a.

a.

Approved For Release 2003/08/76 : C/A-RDF 60R01731R003500180016-2

l.

Furthermore, it is difficult to identify any coordination mobiler bearing exclusively on PV which would not already be a subject to existing coordinating procedures.

Fisher Howe Deputy